

CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY SCHMID COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY



A vector space is a set V, with addition and multiplication such that the following holds for all $u, v, w \in V$ and $a, b \in F$: Commutative, Associative, Additive identity, Additive inverse, Multiplicative identity, and the Distribution laws

A linear map from V to W is a function $T: V \to W$ with the following properties for all $u, v \in V$ and $\lambda \in F$:

$$T(u+v) = Tu + Tv, \quad T(\lambda v) = \lambda T(v)$$

An **isomorphism** is an invertible linear map

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W})$ is the set of all linear maps from V to W.

A linear functional on V is a linear map from V to F, that is element of $\mathcal{L}(V, F)$.

A dual space of V, denoted by V^* , is the vector space of all linear functionals on V.

The Five Lemma

A sequence of maps d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_n , is an **exact sequence** if $Im(d_{k-1}) = Ker(d_k)$

A short exact sequence is of the form:

$$0 \to A \xrightarrow{f_1} B \xrightarrow{f_2} C \xrightarrow{0}$$

A long exact sequence is of f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_n , has the from

 $\dots \xrightarrow{f_0} A_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} A_2 \longrightarrow \dots$

The five lemma Given a commutative diagram of Abelian groups and group homomorphisms as in Figure 1 below, in which the rows are exact sequence, if the maps α, β, δ , and ε are isomorphism, then γ is also an isomorphism.



Figure 1:A commutative diagram to show the Five Lemma

Smooth Manifold

A **diffeomorphism** is a map $f: X \to Y$ such that f is a homeomorphism, and both f and f^{-1} are smooth(differentiable). A smooth manifold of dimension m is a subset $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for each $x \in M$, x has a neighborhood $W \cap M$ that is diffeomorphic to an open subset U of the euclidean space \mathbb{R}^m .

A basis (b_1, \ldots, b_n) determines some **orientation** as basis (b'_1, \ldots, b'_n) if: $b'_i = \sum_j a_{i,j} b_i$, $det(a_{i,j}) > 0$.

A oriented smooth manifold consists of a manifold M and a choice of orientation for each tangent TM_x .

A good cover is an open cover $U = \{U_{\alpha}\}$ of a manifold M of dimension m. M where all nonempty finite intersections $U_{\alpha_0} \cap \ldots \cap U_{\alpha_p}$ are diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^m .

A finite good cover is a good cover U of M which is finite. Equivalently M is of finite type.

The Poincaré Duality Theorem and its Applications

Natanael Alpay, Melissa Sugimoto, and Mihaela Vajiac

Chapman University

Outline

In this talk I will explain the duality between the deRham cohomology of a manifold M and the compactly supported cohomology on the same space. This phenomenon is entitled "Poincaré duality" and it describes a general occurrence in differential topology, a duality between spaces of closed, exact differentiable forms on a manifold and their compactly supported counterparts. In order to define and prove this duality I will start with the simple definition of the dual space of a vector space, with the definition of a positive definite inner product on a vector space, then define the concept of a manifold. I will continue with the definition of differential forms on a differentiable manifold and their corresponding spaces necessary to this analysis. I will then introduce the concepts of a good cover of a manifold, manifolds of finite type, and orientation, all necessary concepts towards the goal of defining and proving Poincaré duality. I will finish with the proof of the Poincaré duality in the case of M orientable and admits a finite good cover, with examples.



Poincaré duality for deRham cohomology

Proof idea. The proof is a proof by induction as follows:

Let
$$M$$
 be a manifold $M = \bigcup_{k=1}^{i} U_k$.
Induction basis: By lemma 5.6 we have $U_1 \cup U_2$.
Induction Hypothesis: Assume $(U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_k)$.
Let M be a manifold $M = \bigcup_{k=1}^{i} U_k$.

- Induction Step: $(U_1 \cup \ldots \cup U_k) \cup U_{k+1}$
- We have

$$H^*(U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_k) \cup H^*(U_{k+1}) \implies H^{*+1}((U_1 \dots U_k) \cap U_{k+1}) \implies H^{*+1}((U_1 \dots U_k) \cap U_{k+1})$$

• Then by the induction step and the Five Lemma: we get

$$H^{*+1}(U_1\ldots U_{k+1})$$

Hence we have by the Poincaré dual we know $H^q(s^n) \simeq (H^{n-q}(S^n))^*$. For q = 0 we have $H^n(S^n) = \mathbb{R}$. For $q = n, H^0(S^n) \simeq \mathbb{R}$. And since $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^*$, we obtain, $H^n_c(S^n) = \mathbb{R}.$

Other forms of the Poincaré duality

The theorem can be extended to any orientable manifold by the Mayer-Vietoris theorem, as follows:

Theorem. If M is an orientable manifold of dimension n, whose cohomology is not necessarily finite dimension, then $H^{q(M)} \sim (H^{n-q}(M))^*$

$$H^q(M) \simeq (H^n_c)^q(M)$$

for any integer q.

Proof idea. The finitness assumption on the good cover is not necessary, then by closer of analysis of topology of a manifold can be extended by the Mayer-Vietoris theorema.

Remark. One should note that the the reverse implication that te following is not always true:

$$H^q_c(M) \simeq (H^{n-q}(M))^*$$

The Euclidian space
$$\mathbb{R}^n$$

ample. By the Five Lemma if Poincaré duality holds for V, and $U \cap V$, then it holds for $U \cup V$. By induction on cardinality of a good cover. Considering Mfeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n , and from the Poincaré lemmas

$$(\mathbb{R}^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{in dimension } 0\\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}, H_c^*(\mathbb{R}^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{in dimension } 0\\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

e Poincaré duality follows.

Let

The Sphere space \mathbb{S}^n

S^n are the point $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, such that	
$x_1^1 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 = 1$	Poincar
ample. Let $\mathbb{S}^n = U \cup V$ where $U \cap V$ is diffeomorphic to	topolog
$^{1} \times \mathbb{R}$. Then, through the Mayer-Vietoris sequence,	torms o
$H^*(S^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & in \ dimensions \ 0, n \end{cases}$	
0 otherwise.	
ich can be written as:	The du
$H^0(\mathbb{S}^n) = \mathbb{R}$	and the
$H^n(\mathbb{S}^n) = \mathbb{R}$	
$H^k(\mathbb{S}^n) = 0, k \neq 0, n$	

Since $H^n(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0$, the closed Poincaré dual is μ_p is trivial, and can be represented by any closed n-form on \mathbb{R}^n , but the compact Poincaré dual is the nontrivial class in $H^n_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ represented by a bump from with total integral 1.

Counter example. One may suspect that for cohomology with we compact support would have: $H_c^*(E) \simeq H_c^{*-n}(M)$. However this is not generally true; the open Möbius strip which is a vector bundle over S^1 , is a counter example. The compact cohomology of the Möbius strip is identically 0; but S^1 does not match that, hence the Poincaré duality will not hold.

(P.D):



Poincaré duals of a point in \mathbb{R}^n

Möbius strip



Figure 3:Möbius strip

Example. But if E and M are finite orientable manifolds, and thus the equation would hold using the Poincaré duality

 $\begin{aligned} H_c^*(E) \simeq (H^{m+n-*}(E))^* & By \ applying \ the \ P.D \ theorem \ on \ E \\ \simeq (H^{m+n-*}(M))^* & By \ deRham \ cohomology \ homopoy \end{aligned}$ $\simeq H_c^{*-n}(M)$ By P.D on M

Conclusion

ré duality describes a general occurrence in differential gy, a duality between spaces of closed, exact differentiable on a manifold and their compactly supported counterparts.

$$H^q(M) \simeq (H_c^{(n-q)}(M))$$

ality between the deRham cohomology of a manifold M e compactly supported cohomology on the same space.

References

[1] S. Acler, *Linear Algebra Done Right, third edition*. [2] R. Bott, L. W. Tu, Differential Forms in algebraic Topology [3] J. W. Milnor, Topology From the Differentiable Viewpoint